

Turning over the pages of history: The aim 1992 year

Yes, much was needed time and «Touras to offices» open handling, in order to appear on «Odd» the Decree of the Cabinet of ministers of Ukraine (N423) which actually «want liquidated» international Contract between Ukraine and Russian Federation from 21.06.2002. (Look Documents 409 and 410 on the Web-Page www.cic-wsc.org).

To clear up - why this was arranged and to whom this everything so is necessary, certain, not interesting. And main - evident «Odd fact» edition «by Donetsk's command of Yanukovicha» very biased (mildly speaking) of document at the level the Decrees of the Cabinet of ministers of Ukraine (N423 from 07.03.07). And subscribes such document through 15 years old (!) after reception by the independence Ukraine in the implementation of the independent attestation of the specialists of superior expertize, but with unambiguous (according to the opinion of small adviser U.Tymkiva) by the indication of date - 1.09.1992. And everything know that else to the end 1994 many dissertation advices were not even formed (!), not speaking already about their experience of working. Nobody from real thinking people did not consider then possible for one hour to carry out chapter «attestation Spaces» on territories the USSR (of modern commonwealth of independent states (UIS)). And this was complexly at the time absolutely for all (not only for Ukraine). HACu Russian Federation was not simply withstand exit from under its jurisdiction of the universities of Kharkov, of Lvov, of Odessa, of Donetsk, of Dnepropetrovsk, of Vinnitsa and other cities of Ukraine. It is that is why, even in 2004 the President of the Academy economic sciences of Ukraine, academician NAS of Ukraine N.G.CHUMACHENKO on Academy general meeting with larger regret spoke about rejecting in Russian federation from the institute of the research adviser of doctoral works. Only very larger problems could on this push the heads of science RF.

And only through 15 years old «как незаметно» will it turned out possible in Ukraine to assume such «Great wisdom» chiefs of «command of Yanukovicha». It is interesting, with what «much group Specialists» receiving certificates in Russian Federation till 1994 struggled the authors of the Decree of the Cabinet of ministers of Ukraine from 07.03.07 for N 423?!

*

*

*

But already and so much give consideration «Law's GULAG's art» in East Europe. Better shall apply to essential ideas which were supported insisting scientists 24 September in 1992 year on sitting of doctor's advice in the Russian Academy of Control, and then - in 1999 and in Ukraine. These ideas for last 16 years old (as flies time!) not only not antiquated, and the necessity of their understanding by youth became else more obvious.

Her simply about methodology nobody today does not speak ...

Not advantageous, and suddenly really will become clever ...

The first page of history.

Else at the beginning 1992 г wrote: «Arising events in commonwealth of independent states for many there seem something irrational. It is real, Great nuclear power State breaks up on more than dozen independent states and this does not cause of resolute repulse, is created the most intensive tempoes of stratum provided people, ready to become large proprietors, is forbidden the most numerous social-state organising - communist party, practically is liquidated comsomol, are sharpened around the bend social problems, are destroyed many ideological cliches, but also not only cliches.

What everything такi arises? Why Russia keeps silence? Why the heads of country send on dismantling of all existing previously system?

By decades us accustomed in the appearance of complex theoretical-practical questions to apply to the materials of congresses and to the «classics». Because in the nearest time of the congress of communist party do not it is expected that stay only «classics». Exit no, must continue studies «primary sources – Books of Marks and Lenin» (Demand to specialists in soviet time). It is possib, will is lucky and authors «Immortal theory» will not refuse to us in help and in this time.»

So everything and was received - «Was lucky» with «classics», but did not was not lucky with publishers. This «Historic Page» did not publish neither one of the newspapers of Moscow (though in good faith «Visited» as to editorial staffs). Only in 1993 along with Valery Alexandrovichem Vasiljev published its in the Institute of Economics and Soc-Cultural Researches in the treatise of «the Labour’s basis of methodology the price education» (Moscow-Mariupol). In it published letter Frederika Fersa (from 2 July 1990) directed to us to as participants of international competition on the convertibility of soviet rouble, and the introduction of the academician of the Russian Academy of Philosophy of nature, Dr. (PhD), prof. V.A.SHULGI.

Testing of students in Ivano-Frankivsk Spring 2008. As to fairly «Novel» methodology, when actually was detected the level of the description of material in Ukrainian text-books issued ambassador 2000, showed the particular urgency today of questions, upstanding in this «Historic Page», that is why without abbreviations carry for Your information Respected Reader, its contents.

About than Did not say the “classics”.

Reread «Program works» and rejoice, as everything simply and easy of access; Society consists of capitalists and proletariat. All aim - to conquer to the proletariat of capitalists and having remained in proud solitude, to continue free without conflict development. Naive Pitirim Alexandrovich Sorokin reread all works of Karla Genrikha Marxa and Vladimir Ulianina (Lenina) and with surprise discovered that nowhere no determining of class, and because basic contradiction in question in Marxa-Lenina doctrines, this conflict between the class of capitalists and by the class of proletarians. But else larger ununderstanding caused at the first in the Russia of the professor of sociology absolute uncorrespondence had to summari-statistic this conclusion K.G.MARXA about misery proletariat and the annihilation of the social groups of middle level income. Having analyzed the distribution of the property groups of Germany, England, Denmark, France and Japan, P.A.SOROKIN showed of baselessness conclusion about disappearance of middle and absolutely misery inferior property strata, in other words form «Property Pyramid» could shrink, but never approached form «Savage bee», about which wrote K.G.MARX. Hereto P.A.SOROKIN led this as to Augsburg for 1471-1554 and as to England for 1688 and 1867 who somehow did not take advantage of «classics».

Table 1

Property groups of England in 1688 and in 1867*

NN	Property groups in 1688		Property groups in 1867	
	Income in Fst	%	Income in Fst	%
1.	6 - 20	62,4	10 - 52	67,7
2.	40 - 80	34,1	52 - 300	30,8
3.	100 - 400	2,9	300 - 1000	1,5
4.	свыше 400	0,6	свыше 1000	0,5

*Sorokin P. The sociology system. _ Yaroslavl, 1921. _ T.2. p. 416

Table 2

Property groups of Augsburg with 1471 and in 1554 **

Years	Incomes			
	The number of persons with income 20 fl.	From 20 till 80	From 80 till 500	Over 500
1471	65,4	31,6	2,7	0,29
1475	65,9	30,6	3,0	?
1498	43,6	53,2	2,6	0,60
1512	45,2	50,6	2,95	1,29
1526	54,1	41,6	2,98	1,45
1540	52,4	42,1	3,67	1,77
1554	53,2	40,5	3,98	2,99

**Sorokin P. The sociology system. _ Yaroslavl, 1921. _ T.2. p. 411

It is like, if fact disagrees theories, of then topics worse for fact. But this notorious «Savage bee» - one of key positions, was given «Immortal theory» scientific (!) substantiating necessity social pangas due to awaited catastrophe. But as it is possible was to reason under «theory»?

Because, based on idea of the digital decrease of stratum Richers and the growth of their wealth, as well as of the disappearance of middle strata and the growth of the number of inferior strata, follows that society goes to inevitable catastrophe, emphasize -to «necessity». Because property pyramid purchases form «Savage bee» with the hollow of instead middle strata, that is why are necessary sharp social turns (on this anecdote acuminated P.SOROKIN attention else in 1921). This already subsequently turned out to be, what untrue were positions of «classic»:

«1) about the decrease of the number of persons gaining superior income (the concentration of incomes),

2) about systematic beggaring persons inferior property stratum» (K.MARX, Capital. T.1. pp. 645-646...”

«Dramatical» and into all «Correctly» theory had time to help раздуть fire («Against all richers»). Becomes comprehensible, why Gertsen so negatively related to K.Marx and to him progeny. And do not wonder to observations grafa S.IU. Vitte, what «Errors and Unsubstantiated ideas underlying this theory, are from the consideration of main its positions» and then «Having accepted for proven, what in the abstract-human labor determines the value of various goods, Marx in a few words clarifies, and or rather by-passes important question about various types and the qualities of labor serving by unit of Value» (Vitte S.IU. The syllabus of lectures about Popular and State economy. S.-P., 1912. p.160).

It is name, «By-passes» and makes this exclusively professional. Because is necessary real skill, in order practically will not take into account all achievements social think in the studies of social differentiation. Else French economists (F. Kene, 1758; A.Tiurgo) did not consider neither civil servants, neither the proprietors of earth parasitic social groups, and the general growth of the number of new occupational groups was for all evident. Ogiust Kont, Emil Diurkgeim, Gerbert Spencer, Maxim Kovalevskii, of Petr Laurel, E.DE. Roberti et al. pointed at division of labour as on progressive phenomenon which conditions and the loss of simplicity of the social structure of society. Why then entire stratum attained and mastered by the humanity of acquaintances Karl G.MARX «by-passes»? Such «bigoted» loyalty to the interests of proletariat and aspiration to the triumphs of social justice? And maybe lack general methodological preparation and understanding of the regularities of development?

Allow will not believe and that's why. Addicting its doctoral dissertation to secret adviser to mister Liudvigu von Vestfalenu and in the symbol of filial affection to his father, Karl Genrikh Marx wrote: «You my father and friend, always were alive argumentum ad oculos, what

idealism - not fantasy, and truth...» (K.MARX, Distinguishing between natural by philosophy Demokrita and natural by philosophy Epikura./K.MARX and F.ENGELS. Soch. M., Gosizdat, 1928, т.1.p.21), and taking into account interest father to the assemblage of ancient texts that some acquaintance willing «classic» with the elements of esoteric doctrines does not cause of surprise, on the contrary, this speaks of the latitude of interests and some understanding of space laws.

Last eminently even from the fragment of concluding of doctoral dissertation: «If, thus divine exists, - as it exist actual, - that and our stating about the hypostasis of celestial bodies correct. For into all last time, as to retained recollections, nothing, apparent, did not change neither on sky, neither in what whether from it parts. Even name, apparent, is transmited to us ancient, while they acknowledged what we speak. For not once and not twice, and countless number times came till us the same Views» (K.MARX, Decree. Soch., т.1.p.60). That, who by symbol with the works of Eleny Petrovny Blavatskoi or Nikolaya Konstantinovicha Rerikha as to these lines will see his “having general with us opinion”, and their presence spoke to scientist to meeting of XIX century about fitting preparation of dissertator.

But demand to novelty in work ofs scholarship not our achievement. And the necessity of its impeled Marxa to searches. What maybe more worthy, than rebuilding of the significance inadequately of appreciated name? That is why Marx tried to see in Epikure even greater philosopher, than in Demokrite and this to sustain bearing on citation of Ancient Greek and Ancient Roman authors.

Everything was maybe nothing, but attempt to oppose Epikura and Demokrita bore on differences in the life style: «In that time as fractious spirit bumps Demokrita into all parts of Planet, Epikur only two or rub time abandons his garden in Athens and went in Ioniiu not for researches, and to visit Friends» (K.MARX, Decree. Publ., T.1.p.36). From the styles of life were carried out the differences of theoretical knowledge and practical activity: «One (Demokrit) - skeptic, other (Epikur) - Pragmatism; One considers sensual world by subjective visibility, other - objective phenomenon. That who considers sensual world by subjective visibility bearing on empirical natural sciences and positive acquaintances and embodies in itself trouble experimenting, everywhere trained, travelling as to the supervision Planet. Other who considers conspicuous world real scorns experience; In him are embodied repose itself contented thinking ...» (K.MARX, Ukaz.soch., T.1, p.39). Willing professor on the whole belittles role active knowing works and all this in behalf of notorious «novelty». And because, based on acquaintance with ancient theories, Karl Genrikh Marx wonderfully understood “impossibility for scientist” the distortions of representations and the exclusively devastating disposition of similar actions for willing generations.

It is like, this the first managed, truth mildly expressed (and not alight in research literature), business conditions and put in the consciousness of young professor idea about without penalty deviations from moral law and had ignored facts serving temporary interests.

As see, was not of the real consciousness of K.G.Marx christian values. As no this consciousness at the most of teachers old (Т.Н. Soviet), of and today already and new political economic and philosophical school in East Europe. Fear people, intuitively fear, especially in the villages of Ukraine, of return communers with their ideology and “the murders of people” in that type. If President of Ukraine have poisoned, then about than can be spoken?! And the assuagement of people which «that, breakwater, make-beleive for foreigners», will not lend of effect. People sense that they the hostages of geopolitical combinations, and practice manipulation by the opinion of society achieved already till absurd.

But was put such development of social dynamics in XX century, when was carried out social coup (1905-1925) in East Europe with realisation social Primitivism – “two poles” in consciousness (capitalist - proletarian). Then practically all literate people understood that level of leadership sharply is reduced, because will occur «the expertize drop» on all levels of control. It is name, then “on the cycle” several times to bedrock destroyed an estimated mechanism by

«social experiments». And let us, in order after such «processing» several generations appeared today for dozen years old literate economists (?!).

All this understood and Charlz Darwin which do not give consent K.G.Marx which wanted to devote to him «Capital». It is so, whose interests really defends «Immortal theory», if in it realisation of perpecc society inevitable?

Here is we approached self interesting - to «Crimian» period lives «classics» during English-French intervention. Marx with Engels left the really immortal testimonies of its quotient not only to the nation of Russia but also to scientificness in the analysis of historic events.

Judge self. If Engels, mildly speaking, deformed events ascribing to, what «русский командующий begins with that, produces the very good plan of advance, unoriginal from the experience of the most celebrated battles Napoleona (because neither at one Russian general was not of brainchild, even at Suvorova, originality which manifested only in direct advance)» (F.Engels, Inkermanskoe сражение./K.Marx and F.Engels. Poln.sobr.soch.Publ 2. T.10, p.561) that Marx asked: «Why will not demand from Russia, in order she dismissed that forty or fifty man whom Its buy in all ends of Europe and which compose all its capital stock as to the part of diplomatic art, of political mind and military talents?» (K.Marx, Mission column Orlova - military finance Rossii./Ukaz.soch.Publ.T.10.p.51). Hereto «classics» manifest itself «Thoughtful Strategicers» and will lend concrete recommendations: «These we do not wish to say that «Sent Rus» uncrush. It is opposite, we suppose that as to its military resources Austria one entirely can with its conquered, and Austria and Prussia together, if to accept in calculation purely military chances, entirely in a position to enforce its to shameful Pease ... The strategical plan of attack on Russia from west was entirely explicitly determined Napoleonom, and if it was not have to the circumstances of unstrategic disposition digress from this plan, to the reign of Russia and its integrity would menace serious hazard in 1812 year... Napoleon in retreat would turned out to be in Vilno in the army chapter as by quantity soldier in two time larger than that which Could against it exhibit Russia. It mistake explicit: They ot from that who as to self its nature inevitable. That fact which he was into Moscow, campaign Karla XII on Poltava sustain that country is easy of access, though access in it difficult ... (and further absolute «Amenity» - note my A.V.-M.) Army which self can distinguish strong Soldier on horses parts for mining of food-stuffs and will use numerous local carts and carts, can furnish by itself all necessary types of food-stuffs: And scarcely Moscow will burned else time...» (K.Marx and F.Endels, Development military acts./Ukaz.soch.T.10, p.577). And this speaks the doctor of philosophical sciences - what for victory over nation lacks only «local carts and carts»(?!). And because in 1829 appeared «Philosophical letters» of P.YA.Chaadaev and all aristocracy of Europe understood role Polish Gusars in provoking of French Man engagements of territory of Cossack-Gothas of Russia. Everything already then understood, of that geo-politicians North-East Europe completely have woned of «Korsikantsa»- Napoleon with Greek roots which not without help from abroad (possibly even the same Russia; Nothing do - Byzantine experience) outstriped French general Moro in «Race» for national leadership (in Russian Federation this managed in the end XX st. to understand V.Pirul). It is general, all Great French Revolution (1789-1794) experienced exclusively serious exterior influence and correctly scientists of the France today of its estimate, as national tragedy. And to whom she was advantageous?

In the self France of interested social groups and then was not (them was come to create on paper), and in XXI st. their and in everything active «the creation of myths» practically will not see. That is why «words» of Marxa and Engels want not to comment, because comment turns into rewrite of text-book about political science.

Very want to find may be small facts bearing evidence about the elements of democracy at the time on Russian expanses. Try to be based on Ekaterininskie reforms («Disengagement brevet of nobelments»), when formed minority was fairly well prepared. But involuntarily ask itself - and for what? With what purpose them so studied, if them practically to economic activity did not attract? They have read Nitsche, they in Europe were larger citizen of Europe, than

Franch men and germans; Money snatched, in order the entire period of year in Paris to turn into «Russich». And here is engineers was come to invite from Germany, financiers - from France, estate of whole-sale merchants to form from armenians and greeks who desperately opposed it is complete to become by Russians. Better Alexandry Ivanovny Davydovoi (of wife “Decembers Man” Vasiliya Lvovicha Davydova) about atmosphere in East Europe so, like, nobody and did not say: «From as to narratives A.I.DAVYDOVOI, order in this country, which was during her youth, wore all signs of dictatorship or the cop of state. People did not use neither freedoms: Neither political, neither everyday. State took on itself leadership by all sorts of human activity; It interfered with the religious life of its nationals, in their private economy, determined their way of life, furnished to their opinion and controled the expression of these opinions as oral, so and printed.» (A.Davydov, «Recollections 1881-1955», Paris, 1983.- p.188).

But this all the most conspectus which, however, bears evidence about the serious claims of world community to the leadership of Russian empire. And to speak on behalf of Europe (about these claims of for a period the triumph of European Solidarity in 1853-56) wanted to become our «our great classics» - Marx and Engels: «And, maybe, these battles will show up only by prelude for different, else more hot, else of more deciding fights, - of the fights of European popular masses against now triumphant despots» (F.Engels, The military forces of Russia./Ukaz.soch.T.10, p. 536). And as soon as at Europe was not received military by means of «explain» S.-Petersbourg inadmissibly of terror’s politicians on international arena that all at once send, let and to «Savage», but strong and everything paying. From what «moral garbage» made «classics» (!).

Their behavior to the analysis of real situation features completely assignment of customer, that is why and to criticize their practically impossibl - the too evident amorality of approach. Who more disburses - those interests and find reflection in works of Marxa and Engels. About what moral purpose and moral norms can be spoken, if «В seventieth years Karl Marx residing in London, received letter of publisher Brokgauza from Leipzig: «Dear mister doctor. You held up on one and a half year commissioning of second Tom of your work «Capital» which you undertook to write for finding publisherses. If this manuscript will not behave to us through half year, we will have to order this work other author» (As to the results of archival work T.Stvolova, E.Sakharova, B.Sulava, B.Kharin, O.Vysotskogo, A.Baeva). And «work of century» Evgenii Maiburd in 1992 in his article « False «Capital»: 120 years ago appeared the Russian translation 1 тома self known work Karla Marxa» validly appreciated: «Because «Capital» - not scientific monograph, sooner, this monstrously Great Terror's Leaflet».

While, shall add - made on rapid hand, without even attempts of movement to historic truth. Pay attention to such evident fact. American scientist E.Geii established that for all XYI century was turned in pastures, when proscribed peasants, beside 0.5% all arable lands and was caused damage less 10 thous. Man, and Marx mixed (!) up times having united in one «the creation of fences» XYI and XYIII centuries having painted «Frightful picture mass-scale and in all places the hardship of the earth of peasants in XYI st.». But the most interesting - all this was accepted scientists on faith, taking into account 50 printed sheets «Capital» and the doctorate of author.

Yes, unless this was accepted «on faith»?! And all statistics, when initial theses are formulated in dynamics, and this had taken for one year (!!). What is this Erroneous information? And in order to acknowledge thesis which productivity inconsistent of factory labor conducts hereto that all larger «part of worker’s groups (class)» is used as «Servants, of housemaids, Adjuvants».

But maybe we the first paid attention to given, the conflict’s disposition of main works «classics»? No, they understood self this very well. It is so having read «Capital» F.Engels wrote to Marx: «Happy, what in book «action deploys», as it were, almost only in England, failing this would enter in force 100 paragraph of Prussian code for court over criminals: «Who ... incites the nationals of state on reciprocal hate» (!). It is more definite to say complex. They itself self appreciated.

But appears question, and as could be received so, what practically into all text-book as to Macroeconomics which are issued in Ukraine already after 2000 to Marx name by distinguished economist, and main - point at positive moments him «theory»?! How with such methodological ensuring can be studied integration with European Union? Or we study to introduction in European Union with the purpose of the realisation of installations Marxa, of Engels, Lenina and others «classics»?!

Who so behaves in XXI century on planet, except us?!

Maybe the pore of youth to to speak truth about modern methodology and do not fear that young generation will become clever?!

That is why, what her is necessary will on basis of the consciousness of the necessity of social solidarity all social groups create of common alive Sociaty the commonwealth of the fraternal nations of multinational independent states, not ignored, and bearing on correct, the common to all mankind understanding of the relations of property. Young generation will be necessary «create on Earth control over by Psi-researches (the proposal of academician V.Kaznacheeva» and to prevent artificial cataclysms in the civilization development.

That's why before scientific economic schools costs the task of the drastic improvement of methodological attitudes with the substantiation of the complex of economic measures which will carry out Ukrainian society on new level of quality.

Alexander Vasiljev (Vasiljev-Muller)
24.02.1992, IESCR, Mariupol (Old Kremnes)
31.07.2008, Ivano-Frankivsk (Stanislav)