

## It is affirmed by the President

*It is affirmed by the President- Chairman of Azov (Ukrainian) Department AES&E, by the academician New York Academy of Sciences, Academy of economic Sciences of the Ukraine, AES&E, the doctor of commerce, cand. econ. sci., hg.s.empl. to Valerie A. Vasiljev*

### SUBSTANTIATION OF THE PROSPECT

Of return to THE IDEA of "Europe two LEVELS" Doctors of Economics from France Yves Crozet and Laurent Guihery (results of referendum in France)

#### **This is real democracy, but not the crisis**

In the countries of East Europe all last events according to the results of voting on the project of the Constitution of Europe in France are received as the deep crisis of the ideas of European integration. But specialists attempt to guess the thin geopolitical combination of West European politicians. But there can be Europe for the first time it does encounter the special opinion of the people of France, how are You think ?

Certainly, No. Even during October 1950 the Head of the French's Government of R. Pleven presented in the national meeting the detailed plan of creation "European army, tightly attached to the economic and political institutes united Europe". The Plan of Plevena provided for the "complete confluence of personnel and armaments" of the West European countries into the army "under the united European political and military management". During February 1951 on the initiative of French's Prime-Minister began the negotiations about the creation of European Defensive Association (EDA). The agreement about EDA was signed on 27 May, 1952 by six participants ECSC (the European Coal and Steel Community), namely by Belgium, by Italy, by Luxemburg, by the Netherlands, by France and by Germany. But on 30 August, 1954, the National Meeting of France it deflected. However, so that this did sharply stop integration processes, how are You think? No (!).

Apparently, even vice versa - was activated the creative search for optimal solutions by all representatives of the administrative supranational Ethnic- socio-cultural layer, which continued steadily grow in entire Europe (concept Of the Mariupol Academic School). Therefore in 1957 on 25 March sign Roman agreement, and Austria, Denmark, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom enter into the European Free Trade Association (EFTA). The agreement of positions in Europeans by no means so simply occurs. Only on 1 January, 1973. Denmark, Ireland and United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland are joined to the association. Questions with the United Kingdom especially complicatedly were solved.

But there can be against their basis-ground was forgotten the work, carry ouied by the Head of the French Government R.Pleven, how are You think? However it wants some "breakers- destroyers" from the East, but the Intellectual- Spiritual Labour of real politicians by neunichtozhim and on its results rest the Continuers of fight for the integration and the progress (!). And on 23 October, 1954, in Paris signs agreement, in order in the shortest period to include Germany into the system of the military-political obligations of the West European Countries, after supplementing with this the Brussels agreement (of 17 March, 1948).

At present West European union (WEU) is included: - 10 full-fledged terms (Belgium, Great Britain, Greece, Spain, Italy, Luxemburg, the Netherlands, Portugal, France, Germany); - 3 associate members, entering in NATO, but not entering in THE EUROPEAN UNION (Iceland, Norway, Turkey); - 5 observers, entering in THE EUROPEAN UNION, but not entering in NATO (Austria, Denmark, Ireland, Finland, Sweden); - 10 associated partners (Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Rumania, Slovenia, Slovakia, Czechia, Estonia).

It would seem this Main question as safety, and what level of respect on the basis of the deepest democratic traditions is manifested to the people of each state (!) taking into account of its historical traditions and prevailing structure of values. Therefore pulse this R. Pleven, is supported the director of the political department OF MFA of Belgium Steven Davin'en on 27 October, 1970, at the Luxemburg session of the Minister of Foreign Affairs, where was formed the system of European political collaboration (SEPC).

The same highest level of respect is manifested also for the special position of France with respect to the method into the Association of the United Kingdom. Let us trace the dynamics: 29.05.1956 in Venice are received the solutions by the Minister of Foreign Affairs about the beginning of intergovernmental negotiations, but the United Kingdom rejects and during October declares about the adherence to free trading zones; 10.08.1961 the United Kingdom will give statement with the request about membership in the European communities, but 4.01.1963 the President of France Charles de -Goll stated about the doubt of France about the political will of the United Kingdom; 11.05.1967 the United Kingdom will again give claim for membership in the associations, but Charles de -Goll on the previous opposes to connection, and only He agrees on the leaving of claims in the agenda; 30.06.1970 begins the new round of the negotiations about the method of the United Kingdom into the association (it goes difficulty), but nevertheless 10.01.1971 United Kingdom starts into the association.

In 1997-1998 we wrote that "the Ethnic-social regularities, apparently actually be the basis of entire sociodinamiki" (see Vasiljev A.V. "The theoretical-methodological the bases of the concept of conversion and retention of labor as the manifestation of natural history regularities (collector of descriptions according to the social and economic theory)". - Mariupol, IESCR, 1998. - ss. 137-176; 34-66. - it is possible to become acquainted in the Library of the Congress OF THE USA). However. in the relations between by France and by the United Kinadom prevail economic interests.

## It is affirmed by the President

### The economy - basis of the successful integration

The standard of living of the countries of those created the Continental Alliance is differed from the countries of central and East Europe by very much. Gross domestic product per capita of population exceeds in the countries THE EUROPEAN UNION 10 000 US dollars. But in the United Kingdom this index to 30% is less than in Germany and approximately to so many the less United Kingdom it produces and gross domestic product.

But the at the same time United Kingdom was always differed from France in terms of its relation to the labour and generally manufactured structure of basic values. And this difference remains in the very vitally important for the world economy sphere - in the trade in currency. In the world much varied with times of the dominion of Britain in XIX century, but also today London is the largest currency market for the World-Economics, on which are achieved by 42% of trade in currency against 29% in New York and 26% in Tokyo (and only 3% in Canada).

Although the industrial potential of the european countries in the mirror of American economy, reflected in the daily operations in THE USA with the foreign currency, it "speaks" about the exceptional significance British it is pounds sterling (15%) in comparison with French franc (3%). However, the export possibilities of modern France somewhat above (216 billion dollars USA, 1990), than Great Britain (185 billion dollars USA, 1990), as for the possibility of import - France to 4% greater imports, than Great Britain.

But a question - which is of these countries richer and where does the people live better? - was caused the dispute of the intellectuals of France and Great Britain even in XYII century. So in 1676 Of Sir William Petty in the "Political Arithmetic" emphasized that "... the paupers in France usually obtain less wages than in England, and nevertheless their means of nourishment there are usually more expensive. In that case in England must be more those, who have surpluses how them there is in France. Finally, I propose to all fact, who travelled on England and France, to answer the question: do not expend people from the common people (the Plebeias; and they do compose the main mass of any people) to one sixth more in England, than in France? But if this is so, then, it goes without saying, they must earlier earn this surplus, and it became to be 10 mln. yielded Kings of England equivalent to 12 mln. yielded French Kings."

And further Great Briton lustroously substantiates the advantages of the insular position of England, Scotland and Ireland in comparison with France: "The obshaya length of the sea coastline of England, Scotland, Ireland and adjacent islands is equal to approximately 3800 miles. In accordance with this length and with a total quantity of acres occupied by the country the area of this country could compose oblong figure or rectangle, which has 3800 miles into the length and about 24 miles into the width; consequently each point in England, Scotland and Ireland is located on the average at a distance only of 12 miles from the sea shore. But in France, which has only about 1 thousand miles of sea shore, the average distance from the sea shore, determined by the same method, is approximately 65 miles.

But taking into account the insignificance of the number of ports in comparison with their number in the possessions of King of England, average distance from the port will comprise in France not less than 70 miles. These circumstances make with clear, that England can be supplied with all heavy and bulky goods of foreign production on much the lower rates than this can occur in France, i.e., approximately to 4 shill. it is cheaper from the metric centner, since the cost of land transportation at a distance, equal to the difference of distances from the ports in England and in France, is equal to this sum or is very close to it. However, benefit from this advantage upon consideration of entire import and export of bulky goods cannot give less than that which can give the labour of 1 mln. people

By the bulky goods I imply all types of combatant forests, boards and riveting for the barrels; any kind iron, lead, stone, brick and tile for the building; any kind grain, salt and beverages; different kind meat and fish and generally all other goods, for which gain or the loss of 4 shill. from the metric centner has the value. Perhaps we do not see that one and the same fault is sold in the internal parts of France for 4 or 5 livrov for the barrel, while near the ports for it is possible to rescue 7 livrov. And for the same reason the loss of combatant forests in England presents nothing terrible, as this clearly showed the restoration of London and it was ship, that be killwas during the war with the Dutchmen." He emphasizes that "in England are fallen about two ninths of entire world trade and about two sevenths of entire quantity are ship", and also, that "the commercial peace (or the peace, which leads trade) consists... of 80 mln. people" and the part "entire world trade, which possess... those yielded of King of England... about 10 of 45 it mln.f.st."

Centuries passed, and the Stock Exchange by the volume of the turnover of securities of pain how four times exceeds France; and has the revolution of trade in foreign active memberships larger than USA, and also larger than France (!). here is that the social and economic foundation eight times, which caused the fight of the interests between France and United Kingdom against the background of the development of the agglomerative trends under the conditions of globalization. And it would seem, after overcoming in 1973, more accurate than dostignuv of consensus in essence with France, for the United Kingdom it must begin the era of intensive collaboration, but it was not there. During April 1974 into the United Kingdom conquer the laborists, who declare about the intentions "to renew the negotiations about the conditions of the entrance into the association". The reaction of association was single-valued and during March 1975 at The dublinskoy session European council makes decision about the size of the payments of London into the budget of association. Only referendum in the United Kingdom during June 1975 gave new pulse to integration processes, since conquered the supporters of membership in of the rightness of the estimations of great Briton - William Petty, who survived centuries, but also to feel the generality of the historical deep roots of two great European peoples - Englishmen and Frenchmen. And in spite of love for Paris and by admiration By Defans (contemporary region of Paris) in 1998, it must say that it is objective the standard of living in England nevertheless higher than in France, although not so as in 1676. Indeed official statistics thus far cannot envelop all sociocultural special features of the life of the contemporary society, which, probably, and must not be reflected in the paper to the trifles and the nuances. It is not possible to the achievements by Europeans to thoughtlessly sacrifice level!

**Rightness of Tony Bler, Prime minister Of Great Britain.**

## It is affirmed by the President

Rightness of Tony Blair, Prime minister Of Great Britain. Today the portion of the Continental Alliance in the world export is of near about 40%, and this is the very high index, which testifies about the real competitive ability of the national economies and entire European community. We in 1997 - 1999 tried to look at all integration processes, proceeding in Europe, from the Ethnic- social positions, after examining the dynamics of shaping of the supranational Ethnic- social administrative layer of the European community, which not only intensively grows, but also enlarges the expansion of those pacified it is circular to entire peace, removing commercial limitations on all continents (see Vasiljev A.V. "The theoretical-methodological the bases of the concept of conversion and retention of labor as the manifestation of natural history regularities (collector of descriptions according to the social and economic theory)". - Mariupol, IESCR, 1998).

Thus, in 1995 the Continental Alliance concluded agreement about the economic collaboration from Merkosur (Brazil, Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay). Are strengthened connections THE EUROPEAN UNION, also, USA-Canada-Mexico, and in 1984 was achieved new Lomeyskaya konyentsiya between THE EUROPEAN UNION and 65 partners. And today actually supranational integrated building, in spite of the special position of the United Kingdom on the number of questions, within the framework of the institutes of association ("first support") it is supplemented with a constant collaboration in the region of foreign policy and safety (the "second support"), and also in the region of justice and internal affairs ("third support"). But the main thing, that the West European union (WEU) did not merge thus far with the Continental Alliance (EUROPEAN UNION), in what the special relations of England with THE USA played not last role. It is important that the potential of collaboration steadily is increased and today it is already more than 2000 national and multinational military subdivisions of accountable WEU (from the ship, the battalion, the flight to large shaping - divisions, the army corps). And this in all aspects is positive, since integration processes steadily and stably are developed.

The very complex dynamics of globalization in Europe actually has the internal organically inherent in it sources of activity. And Europe, true to life organism, it today actually requires respite. In this with the Prime minister of Great Britain Tony Blair we all to agree. Especially because this state of "overheating" of the national economies was forecast into 1998 more. by the economists of France, who in proper time left with the idea of "Europe two levels". But far from all they wanted to note their developments. Therefore during June 2005 and so many disappointments, but this already of the problem of those, who do not want to think and to consider the interests of partners. In particular, in 1998 at the third international scientific conference "The problems of economic integration of Ukraine into the European Union" the delegation of the scientific economists of the institute of economical- sociocultural studies (lawful successor DESMC DSC AS of the Ukraine) did strike by its pragmatism and sincerity the report of Doctor of Economics of France - Yves Crozet and Laurent Guihery "How can, on an economic basis, the Nation be defined? German experience in the dynamic of European integration: Which learnings for Ukraine?".

In it it is so reasoned and was interestingly presented the experience of Germany (experience of the solution by Germany integrated tasks with the Continental Alliance), and was also so reasonably substantiated the idea of "Europe two levels", that our sincere admiration by speaker by Doctor of Economics from France Laurent Guihery was supported by the entire section of the international scientific conference, at which came out Laurent Guihery. We after conference immediately recommended to the prime minister of the Ukraine V.P. Pustovoytenko, to the Vice President of the National Academy of the Sciences of the Ukraine P.P.Tolochko, to the rector of Ternopol' academy of national economy A.A. Ustenko - "the giving of the full translation of this work, which in the collector in the English account occupies 16 p., and in the Ukrainian - only 2 pages". All this not only was stated in the official rotation and in the letters to the management of the National Academy of the Sciences of the Ukraine and to the government of the Ukraine, but it was reflected in the bulletin №1 of the first session of the academic council of the Ukrainian (Azov) Department of the Academy of Economic Sciences and Owner's Activity "we rest on the tradition of the millennia: From the Athenian academy to the academic council of the Ukrainian academy of economic sciences and owner's activity (substantiation of program "Red Book of the cultures of Europe") "of /Mariupol', ancient. Kremnes", 1999/. this bulletin №1 OF U(A)D AES&E, IESCR and Fund "International Heraldic Union "is located today not only in the academic and university libraries of the Ukraine, Russia and Poland (Warsaw University, the Polish Academy of Sciences, the Library Narodova, etc.), but also in the Library of Congress USA (there is official information).

We recommendation Dr Laurent Guihery to New York Academy Sciences 1998.

By the way, in 1999 we met also with Yves Crozet already at the fourth international scientific conference "The problems of economic integration of Ukraine into the European Union" in Forose- Yalta, after transmitting to him into the library of Lyon (France) basic materials represented in the State Prize of the Ukraine in the area of science and technology in 1999 By the tavrisheskeye Institute of social and economic studies and konsaltinga of the Crimean Academy of Sciences and IESCR (DESMC DSC AS of the Ukraine). This encounter produced on us impression and it convinced the correctness of our position with respect to the developments of French economists.

Therefore it remains sincerely to regret, what IDEA of the creation of "Europe two LEVELS" - with the organization of the corresponding unions and conclusion between them of agreements - so not was seriously discussed by the scientific community of East Europe. This idea, apparently, they did not discuss in the government of the Ukraine, since not there were real steps, which testify about understanding of the possibility of its realization in one or other form or another on the European continent. But as result - today the Ukraine is located not in the middle of way to the Continental Alliance and the European standards of life, but only at the very beginning.

However, these ideas are sufficiently well realized by the wide layers of the European public, which is evident according to the results of voting on the project of European constitution in France and in Holland (Netherlands). Yes even it is correct. Now after adoption in THE EUROPEAN UNION of ten new terms it is possible to begin the process of the modernization institutional system of association. And it was necessary b in the nearest time to carry out the calculations of the alternatives of the use of financing from the budget THE EUROPEAN UNION for achievement of purposes THE EUROPEAN UNION in ten accepted in the association new members (as this was done for Poland in 2001 by the specialists of Azov Department AES&E in the monograph the "substantiation of new approach - the second report to the Club of Rome in" the revolutions of effectiveness "; it is sent for the commission THE EUROPEAN UNION and it is transmitted to the President of Poland Aleksandr Kvas'nevskiy).

For the basis of this modernization as one of the alternatives it is expedient to take the developments of the talented French economists Yves Crozet and Laurent Guihery. In this case the time out, proposed by the prime minister of Great

### It is affirmed by the President

Britain Tony Blair, is exceptionally timely and gives the possibility to professionally institutional system of the Continental Alliance (EUROPEAN UNION) new integrated initiatives and actions, realization of which will not strike the standard of living of the population of West Europe. There are no today such purposes, for achievement of which was permissible a decrease in the standard of living of the population of "old Europe", since any real steps in the achievement of competitive ability and in the sociocultural development of society cannot be made with the retention of the limited nomenclature of needs, and those more with their reduction (latter unavoidably with a decrease in the standard of living).

Therefore we recommend to conduct the consideration of publications Yves Crozet and Laurent Guihery in the working groups of the commission of the Continental Alliance.

With the respect,

Aleksander V. Vasiljev

Chairman of the academic council of the Institute of economical- sociocultural studies (lawful successor DESMC DSC AS of the Ukraine), d.e.s., h.s.empl., Academician New York Academy of Sciences